arXiv:0709.0843v3 [quant-ph] 2 Nov 2007

Induced Fractional Zero-Point Canonical Angular Momentum on Charged Particles of Aharonov - Bohm Vector Potential and "Spectator" Magnetic Field

Jian-Zu Zhang

Institute for Theoretical Physics, East China University of Science and Technology, Box 316, Shanghai 200237, P. R. China

Abstract

The induced fractional zero-point canonical angular momentum on charged particles by the Aharonov - Bohm (AB) vector potential is realized via modified combined traps. It explores new features for this type of quantum effects: In a limit of vanishing mechanical kinetic energy the AB vector potential alone cannot induce a fractional zero-point canonical angular momentum on charged particles at the quantum mechanical level in the AB magnetic field-free region; But for the case of the AB vector potential with another one of a "spectator" magnetic field the AB vector potential induces a fractional zero-point canonical angular momentum in the same limit. The "spectator" one does not contribute to such a fractional zero-point quantity, but plays essential role in guaranteeing non-trivial dynamics survived in this limit at the quantum mechanical level. These results are significance in investigations of the AB effects and related fields for both theories and experiments.

Ever since it has recognized that quantum states of charged particles can be influenced by electromagnetic effects even charged particles cannot access to the region of non-vanishing fields [1, 2], the Aharonov - Bohm (AB) effect [2], as a unusual quantum phase effect, has been received much attention for years [3, 4, 5]. According to this the interference spectrum should suffer a shift according to the amount of magnetic flux enclosed by two electron beams, even through magnetic field-free region. The AB effect is purely quantum mechanical which explored far-reaching consequences of vector potential in quantum theory. The effect has been confirmed experimentally [6]. Since the discovering of this effect investigations in this topic concentrated on revealing new types of quantum phases: The Aharonov-Casher effect [7], the He-McKellar-Wilkens phase [8] and the Anandan phase [9].

In another aspect the induced angular momentum of the AB vector potential has been predicted by Peshkin, Talmi and Tassie for over forty years [4, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. This type of quantum effects is so remarkable that in quantum mechanics the vector potential itself has physical significant meaning and becomes effectively measurable not only in shifts of interference spectra originated from quantum phases but also in physical observables.

Recently Kastrup [15] considered the question of how to quantize a classical system of the canonically conjugate pair angle and orbital angular momentum which has been a controversial issue since the founding days of quantum mechanics [16]. The problem is that the angle is a multivalued or discontinuous variable on the corresponding phase space. A crucial point is that the irreducible unitary representations of the euclidean group $E(2)$ or of its covering groups allow for orbital angular momentum $l = \hbar(n + \delta)$ where $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$, and $0 \leq \delta < 1$. The case $\delta \neq 0$ corresponds to fractional zero-point angular momentum. Kastrup investigated the physical possibility of fractional orbital angular momentum in connection with the quantum optics of Laguerre-Gaussian laser modes in external magnetic fields, and pointed out that if implementable this would lead to a wealth of new theoretical, experimental and even technological possibilities.

In this paper the induced fractional zero-point canonical angular momentum on charged particles by the AB vector potential is realized via modified combined traps. It explores new features for this type of quantum effects: In a limit of vanishing mechanical kinetic energy the AB vector potential alone cannot induce a fractional zero-point canonical angular momentum on charged particles at the quantum mechanical level in the AB magnetic field-free region; But for the case of the AB vector potential with another one of a "spectator" magnetic field the AB vector potential induces a fractional zero-point canonical angular momentum in the same limit. The "spectator" one does not contribute to such a fractional zero-point quantity, but plays essential role in guaranteeing non-trivial dynamics survived in this limit at the quantum mechanical level. These results are significance in investigations of the AB effects and related fields for both theories and experiments.

We consider ions constrained in a modified combined trap including the AB type magnetic field. The Paul, Penning, and combined traps share the same electrode structure [17]. A combined trap operates in all of the fields of the Paul and Penning traps being applied simultaneously. The trapping mechanism in a Paul trap involves an oscillating axially symmetric electric potential $\tilde{U}(\rho,\phi,z,t) = U(\rho,\phi,z) cos\tilde{\Omega}t$ with $U(\rho,\phi,z) = Vd^2(z^2-\rho^2/2)/2$ where ρ, ϕ and z are cylindrical coordinates, V and d are, respectively, characteristic voltage and length, and $\tilde{\Omega}$ is a large radio-frequency. The dominant effect of the oscillating potential is to add an oscillating phase factor to the wave function. Rapidly varying terms of time in Schrodinger equation can be replaced by their average values. Thus for $\tilde{\Omega} \gg \Omega \equiv \left(\sqrt{2}|qV|\mu d^2\right)^{1/2}$ we obtain a time-independent effective electric potential $V_{eff} = q^2\nabla U \cdot \nabla U/4\mu\tilde{\Omega}^2 = \mu\omega_P^2(\rho^2+4z^2)/2$ where μ and q are, respectively, the mass and charge of the trapped particle or ion, and $\omega_P = \Omega^2/4\tilde{\Omega}$ [18]. A modified combined trap combines the above electrostatic potential and two magnetic fields: a homogeneous magnetic field $\mathbf{B}_c$ aligned along the z axis in a normal combined trap and an AB type magnetic field $\mathbf{B}_0$ produced by, for example, an infinitely long solenoid with radius $\rho = (x_1^2+x_2^2)^{1/2} = a$. Inside the solenoid ($\rho < a$) $\mathbf{B}_{0,in} = (0,0,B_0)$ is homogeneous along the z axis, and outside the solenoid ($\rho > a$) $\mathbf{B}_{0,out} = 0$. The vector potential $A_{c,i}$ of $\mathbf{B}_c$ is chosen as (Henceforth the summation convention is used) $A_{c,i} = -B_c\epsilon_{ij}x_j/2$, $A_{c,z} = 0$, $(i,j = 1,2)$. The AB vector potential $\mathbf{A}_0$ is: Inside the solenoid $A_{0,i} = A_{in,i} = -B_0\epsilon_{ij}x_j/2$, $A_{in,z} = 0$; Outside the solenoid $A_{0,i} = A_{out,i} = -B_0a^2\epsilon_{ij}x_j/2x_kx_k$, $A_{out,z} = 0$, $(i,j,k = 1,2)$. At $\rho = a$ the potential $\mathbf{A}_{in}$ passes continuously over into $\mathbf{A}_{out}$. The ion's motion is confined to be planar, rotationally symmetric. The Hamiltonian of the modified combined trap is $H = \left(p_i - \frac{q}{c}A_{c,i} - \frac{q}{c}A_{0,i}\right)^2/2\mu + p_z^2/2\mu + \mu\omega_P^2\left(x_i^2 + 4z^2\right)/2$. This Hamiltonian can be decomposed into a one-dimensional harmonic Hamiltonian $H_z(z)$ along the z-axis with the axial frequency $\omega_z = 2\omega_P$ and a two-dimensional Hamiltonian. Inside the solenoid the ion's motion is the same as the one with a total magnetic field $\mathbf{B}_c + \mathbf{B}_{0,in}$. In the following we consider the motion out the solenoid.

Outside the solenoid the two-dimensional Hamiltonian is [17, 18]

$$H_\perp(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{2\mu}\left(p_i + \frac{1}{2}\mu\omega_c\epsilon_{ij}x_j + \frac{1}{2}\mu\omega_0 a^2\frac{\epsilon_{ij}x_j}{x_kx_k}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\mu\omega_P^2x_i^2, \tag{1}$$

where $\omega_c = qB_c/\mu c$ and $\omega_0 = qB_0/\mu c$ are the cyclotron frequencies corresponding to, respectively, the magnetic fields $\mathbf{B}_c$ and $\mathbf{B}_{0,in}$. The Hamiltonian $H_\perp$ possess a rotational symmetry in (x_1, x_2) - plane. The z-component of the canonical orbital angular momentum $J_z = \epsilon_{ij}x_ip_j$ commutes with $H_\perp$. They have common eigenstates.

We consider the limiting case of vanishing mechanical kinetic energy $E_k = \mu\dot{x}_i\dot{x}_i/2$. In a laser trapping field, using a number of laser beans and exploiting Zeeman tuning, the speed of atoms can be slowed to the extent of 1 ms^{-1}, see [19]. It should be emphasized that in order to experimentally realizing the limit of vanishing mechanical kinetic energy through laser cooling we should consider ions with inner structures, not point particles. In the limiting case of vanishing mechanical kinetic energy the Hamiltonian $H_\perp$ in Eq. (1) has non-trivial dynamics [20, 21]. The Lagrangian corresponding to $H_\perp$ is $L = \mu\dot{x}_i\dot{x}_i/2 - \mu\omega_c\epsilon_{ij}\dot{x}_ix_j/2 - \mu\omega_0a^2\epsilon_{ij}\dot{x}_ix_j/2x_kx_k - \mu\omega_P^2x_ix_i/2$. In the limit of vanishing mechanical kinetic energy in the Hamiltonian formalism,
$E_k = \mu\dot{x}_i\dot{x}_i/2 = \left(p_i + \mu\omega_c\epsilon_{ij}x_j/2 + \mu\omega_0a^2\epsilon_{ij}x_j/2x_kx_k\right)^2/2\mu \to 0$, the Hamiltonian $H_\perp$ reduces to $H_0 = \mu\omega_P^2x_ix_i/2$. In the limit of $\mu \to 0$ in the Lagrangian formalism, the Lagrangian L reduces to $L_0 = -\mu\omega_c\epsilon_{ij}\dot{x}_ix_j/2 - \mu\omega_0a^2\epsilon_{ij}\dot{x}_ix_j/2x_kx_k - \mu\omega_P^2x_ix_i/2$. The canonical momenta is $p_{0i} = \partial L_0/\partial\dot{x}_i = -\mu\omega_c\epsilon_{ij}x_j/2 - \mu\omega_0a^2\epsilon_{ij}x_j/2x_kx_k$, and the corresponding Hamiltonian $H_0' = p_{0i}\dot{x}_i - L_0 = \mu\omega_P^2x_ix_i/2 = H_0$. Thus the limit of vanishing mechanical kinetic energy in the Hamiltonian formalism identifies with the limit of the mass $\mu \to 0$ in the Lagrangian formalism. The massless limit was studied by Dunne, Jackiw and Trugenberger [22]. The first term of the equation (1) shows that in the limit $\mu \to 0$ there are

constraints [20, 21]

$$\varphi_i = p_i + \frac{1}{2}\mu\omega_c\epsilon_{ij}x_j + \frac{1}{2}\mu\omega_0 a^2\frac{\epsilon_{ij}x_j}{x_k x_k} = 0, \tag{2}$$

which should be carefully treated. The subject was treated simply by the symplectic method in [23, 24]. In this paper we work in the approach of the Dirac brackets. The Poisson brackets of the constraints (2) are

$$\{\varphi_i, \varphi_i\}_P = \mu\omega_c\epsilon_{ij} \neq 0, \tag{3}$$

so that the corresponding Dirac brackets of $\{\varphi_i, x_j\}_D$, $\{\varphi_i, p_j\}_D$, $\{x_i, x_j\}_D$, $\{p_i, p_j\}_D$ and $\{x_i, p_j\}_D$ can be determined. The Dirac brackets of φ_i with any variables x_i and p_j are zero so that the constraints (2) are strong conditions. It can be used to eliminate dependent variables. If we select x_1 and x_2 as the independent variables, from the constraints (2) the variables p_1 and p_2 can be represented by, respectively, the independent variables x_2 and x_1. The Dirac brackets of x_1 and x_2 is

$$\{x_1, x_2\}_D = 1/\mu\omega_c. \tag{4}$$

Introducing new canonical variables $x = x_1$ and $p = \mu\omega_c x_2$, we have the corresponding commutation relation $[x, p] = i\hbar$. Defining the effective mass $\mu^* \equiv \mu\omega_c^2/\omega_P^2$ and the effective frequency $\omega^* \equiv \omega_P^2/\omega_c$, the Hamiltonian H_0 is represented as $H_0 = p^2/2\mu^* + \mu^*\omega^{*2}x^2/2$. Introducing an annihilation operator $A = \sqrt{\mu^*\omega^*/2\hbar}\; q + i\sqrt{1/2\hbar\mu^*\omega^*}\; p$, we obtain $H_0 = \hbar\omega^*\left(A^\dagger A + 1/2\right)$. The operators A and $A^\dagger$ satisfies $[A, A^\dagger] = 1$. The eigenvalues of the number operator $N = A^\dagger A$ is $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$.

Now we consider the canonical angular momentum of an ion. Using Eq. (2) to replace p_1 and p_2 by, respectively, the independent variables x_2 and x_1, the canonical angular momentum $J_z = \epsilon_{ij}x_i p_j$ is rewritten as $J_z = q\Phi_0/2\pi c + \mu\omega_c(x_1^2 + x_2^2)/2$, where $\Phi_0 = \pi a^2 B_0$ is the magnetic flux inside the solenoid. Similarly, using A and $A^\dagger$ to rewrite J_z, we obtain

$$J_z = \frac{q}{2\pi c}\Phi_0 + \hbar\left(A^\dagger A + \frac{1}{2}\right). \tag{5}$$

The zero-point angular momentum of J_z is $\mathcal{J}_0 = 1/2 + q\Phi_0/2\pi c$. In the above the term

$$\mathcal{J}_{AB} = \frac{q}{2\pi c}\Phi_0 \tag{6}$$

is the zero-point angular momentum induced by the AB vector potential. $\mathcal{J}_{AB}$ takes fractional values. It is related to the region where the magnetic field $\mathbf{B}_{0,out} = 0$ but the corresponding vector potential $\mathbf{A}_{out} \neq 0$.

It is worth noting that here $\mathbf{B}_c$, like a "spectator", does not contribute to $\mathcal{J}_{AB}$. In order to clarify the role played by $\mathbf{B}_c$, we consider the case of $\mathbf{B}_c = 0$. In this case the modified combined trap is as stable as a Paul trap. The corresponding mechanical kinetic energy reduces to $\tilde{E}_k = \mu\dot{x}_i\dot{x}_i/2 = \left(p_i + \mu\omega_0 a^2\epsilon_{ij}x_j/2x_kx_k\right)^2/2\mu$. In the limit of $\tilde{E}_k \to 0$ the reduced constraints are $\tilde{\varphi}_i = p_i + \mu\omega_0 a^2\epsilon_{ij}x_j/2x_kx_k = 0$. Here the special feature is that the corresponding Poisson brackets

$$\{\tilde{\varphi}_i, \tilde{\varphi}_j\}_P \equiv 0, \tag{7}$$

so that the Dirac brackets $\{\tilde{\varphi}_i, x_j\}_D$, $\{\tilde{\varphi}_i, p_j\}_D$, $\{x_i, x_j\}_D$, $\{p_i, p_j\}_D$ and $\{x_i, p_j\}_D$ cannot be determined. There is no way to establish dynamics at the quantum mechanics level. It means that the AB vector potential alone cannot lead to non-trivial dynamics survived in the limit of $\tilde{E}_k \to 0$ at the quantum mechanics level. It is clear that though the "spectator" magnetic field $\mathbf{B}_c$ does not contribute to $\mathcal{J}_{AB}$, it plays essential role in guaranteeing non-trivial dynamics survived in the limit of vanishing mechanical kinetic energy at the quantum mechanical level.

What is the essential difference between $\mathbf{A}_{out}$ and $\mathbf{A}_c$ in the region of $\mathbf{B}_{0,out} = 0$? For this purpose we consider the case of $\mathbf{B}_{0,in} = 0$. In this case the modified combined trap reduces to a combined trap. The corresponding mechanical kinetic energy reduces to $\hat{E}_k = \mu\dot{x}_i\dot{x}_i/2 = \left(p_i + \mu\omega_c\epsilon_{ij}x_j/2\right)^2/2\mu$. In the limit of $\hat{E}_k \to 0$ the reduced constraints are $\hat{\varphi}_i = p_i + \mu\omega_c\epsilon_{ij}x_j/2 = 0$. The Poisson brackets of the reduce constraints $\hat{\varphi}_i$ are the same as ones of the constraints φ_i in Eq. (3):

$$\{\hat{\varphi}_i, \hat{\varphi}_i\}_P = \mu\omega_c\epsilon_{ij} \neq 0. \tag{8}$$

Thus there is non-trivial dynamics survived in the limit of vanishing mechanical kinetic energy at the quantum mechanical level. By the similar procedure of treating the constraints (2), we obtain the angular momentum $\hat{J}_z = \hbar\left(A^\dagger A + \frac{1}{2}\right)$ with the zero-point angular momentum $\hat{\mathcal{J}}_0 = 1/2$. This elucidates the essential difference between $\mathbf{A}_{out}$ and $\mathbf{A}_c$ in the region of $\mathbf{B}_{0,out} = 0$: The $\mathbf{A}_c$ alone can lead to non-trivial dynamics survived in the limit of vanishing mechanical kinetic energy at the quantum mechanical level; But the $\mathbf{A}_{0,out}$ alone cannot.

As is well known, we can perform a gauge transformation χ so that the resulting vector potential $\mathbf{A}'_{out} = \mathbf{A}_{out} + \nabla\chi = 0$. A suitable gauge function [25] is $\chi = -B_0 a^2 \phi(x_1, x_2)/2$ with the polar angle $\phi(x_1, x_2) = tan^{-1}(x_2/x_1)$. In the Schrödinger equation the corresponding gauge transformation is $\mathcal{G} = exp(iq\chi/c\hbar)$. Under this gauge transformation the Hamiltonian $H_\perp(x_1, x_2)$ in Eq. (1) is transformed into $H_\perp \to \mathcal{G}H_\perp\mathcal{G}^{-1} = H'_\perp = \left(p_i + \mu\omega_c\epsilon_{ij}x_j/2\right)^2/2\mu + \mu\omega_P^2 x_i^2/2$. In the limit of vanishing mechanical kinetic energy the corresponding reduced constraints are $\hat{\varphi}_i = p_i + \mu\omega_c\epsilon_{ij}x_j/2 = 0$. Under the gauge transformation $\mathcal{G}$ the angular momentum J_z is transformed into $J_z \to \mathcal{G}J_z\mathcal{G}^{-1} = J'_z = x_1p_2 - x_2p_1 + q\Phi_0/2\pi c$. Using the above reduced constraints $\hat{\varphi}_i$ to represent p_1 and p_2 by, respectively, the independent variables x_2 and x_1, the first term in J'_z reads $x_1p_2 - x_2p_1 = \mu\omega_c(x_1^2 + x_2^2)/2$. Thus $J'_z = J_z = q\Phi_0/2\pi c + \mu\omega_c(x_1^2 + x_2^2)/2$. This result shows that the fractional zero-point canonical angular momentum induced by the AB vector potential is a real physical observable which cannot be gauged away by a gauge transformation.

In summary, in this paper unexpected new features of the AB effects are revealed. In a limit of vanishing mechanical kinetic energy the AB vector potential alone cannot induce a fractional zero-point canonical angular momentum on charged particles at the quantum mechanical level in the AB magnetic field-free region. The induced effects essentially depends upon the participation of a "spectator" magnetic field. These results are significance for both theories and experiments. Theoretically, the physical role played by the AB vector potential is subtle which needs to carefully analyze at the full quantum mechanical level. Experimentally, real measurements of such an induced fractional zero-point canonical angular momentum may need to involve "spectator" magnetic fields.

This work has been supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China under the grant number 10575037 and by the Shanghai Education Development Foundation.

References

[1] W. Ehrenberg, R. Siday, Proc. Phys. Soc. London B 62 (1949) 8.

[2] Y. Aharonov, D. Bohm, Phys. Rev. **115** (1959) 485.

[3] S. Olariu, I. I. Popescu, Rev. Mod. Phys. **57** (1985) 339.

[4] For detailed literature see, M. Peshkin, A. Tonomura, The Aharonov - Bohm Effect, Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 340 (Springe-Verlag, Berlin, 1989).

[5] H. J. W. Müller - Kirsten, Electronics: An Introduction Including Quantum Effects (World Scientific, Singapore, 2004).

[6] R. G. Chanbers, Phys. Rev. Lett. **5** (1960) 3; A. Tonomura, N. Osakabe, T. Matsuda, T. Kawasaki, J. Endo, S. Yano, H. Yamada, Phys. Rev. Lett. **56** (1986) 792.

[7] Y. Aharonov, A. Casher, Phys. Rev. Lett. **53** (1984) 319. Experimental observations of this effect, see A. Cimmino et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **63** (1989) 380; K. Sangster, E. A. Hinds, S. M. Barnett, E. Riis, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71** (1993) 3641.

[8] This phase was independently predicted by X.-G. He, B. H. J. McKellar, Phys. Rev. **A47** (1993) 3424; and M. Wilkens, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72** (1994) 5. An experimental proposal of testing this effect, see H. Wei, R. Han, X. Wei, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75** (1995) 2071.

[9] J. Anandan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85** (2000) 1354; C. Furtado, C. A. de Lima Ribeiro, Phys. Rev. **A69** (2004) 064104.

[10] M. Peshkin, I. Talmi, Ann. Phys. **12** (1960) 426.

[11] L. J. Tassie, M. Peshkin, Ann. Phys. **16** (1961) 177.

[12] M. Peshkin, I. Talmi, L. J. Tassie, Ann. Phys. **16** (1962) 426.

[13] M. Peshkin, Phys. Rep. **80** (1981) 375.

[14] M. Peshkin, Phys. Lett. **118B** (1982) 385.

[15] H. A. Kastrup, Phys. Rev. **A73** (2006) 0510234.

[16] H. A. Kastrup, Fortschr. Physik **51** (2003) 975; expanded version: quant-ph/0307069.

[17] K. Dholakia et al, Phys. Rev. **A47** (1993) 441 and references there in.

[18] R. J. Cook, D. G. Shankland, A. L. Wells, Phys. Rev. **A 31** (1985) 564.

[19] F. Shimizu, K. Shimizu, H. Takuma, Opt. Lett. **16** (1991) 339.

[20] C. Baxter, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74** (1995) 514.

[21] Jian-zu Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77** 44 (1996) 44; Phys. Rev. Lett. **93** (2004) 043002; Phys. Rev. **D74** (2006) 124005.

[22] G. V. Dunne, R. Jackiw, C. A. Trugenberger, Phys. Rev. **D41** (1990) 661.

[23] L. Faddeev, R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60** (1988) 1692.

[24] G. V. Dunne, R. Jackiw, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **33C** (1993) 114.

[25] This gauge function is singular at $x_1 = 0$. The values of the polar angle ϕ on both sides of $x_2 = 0$, $x_1 < 0$ differ by 2π. There is a cut along the negative semi-axis of x_1, the so called Dirac string. However, there is no need to perform a singular gauge transformation.